CMSC 28100

Introduction to Complexity Theory

Spring 2024 Instructor: William Hoza



Communication complexity

- Goal: Compute f(x, y) using as
 little communication as possible
- In each round, one party sends a single bit while the other party listens
- At the end, both parties announce f(x, y)





Bob holds y

Communication complexity of equality

$$EQ_n(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Every deterministic communication protocol that computes EQ_n has cost at least n + 1

Randomized communication complexity

 In a randomized communication protocol, Alice and Bob are permitted to make decisions based on coin tosses



Alice holds *x*

Bob holds y

Randomized communication protocols

- Mathematically, we model a randomized communication protocol with n-bit inputs as a deterministic communication protocol with (n + r)-bit inputs for some $r \ge 0$
- Alice holds xu, where $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $u \in \{0, 1\}^r$
- Bob holds yw, where $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^r$
- Interpretation: x, y are the "actual inputs," and u, w are the coin tosses

The output of a randomized protocol

- For each $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $u, w \in \{0, 1\}^r$, we have $\pi(xu, yw) \in \{0, 1\}$
- We define $\pi(x, y)$ as follows: Pick $(u, w) \in \{0, 1\}^r \times \{0, 1\}^r$ uniformly at random, then set $\pi(x, y) = \pi(xu, yw)$
- $\pi(x, y)$ is a random variable. For each $b \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\Pr[\pi(x, y) = b] = \frac{|\{(u, w) : \pi(xu, yw) = b\}|}{|\{0, 1\}^r \times \{0, 1\}^r|}$$

Computing a function with a randomized protocol

- Let $f: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and let $\delta > 0$
- Suppose that for every $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\Pr[\pi(x, y) = f(x, y)] \ge 1 - \delta$$

• In this case, we say that π computes f with error probability δ



Theorem: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a randomized communication protocol with cost $O(\log n)$ that computes EQ_n with error probability δ

• Randomized protocols are exponentially better than deterministic protocols!

Randomized protocol for EQ_n

- Assume without loss of generality that n/δ is a power of two
- Think of $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ as numbers $x, y \in \{0, 1, ..., 2^n 1\}$
- Let p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots be the sequence of all prime numbers (in order)
- Protocol:
 - 1. Alice picks $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n/\delta\}$ uniformly at random and sends $(i, x \mod p_i)$ to Bob
 - 2. Bob sends a bit indicating whether $x \mod p_i = y \mod p_i$
 - 3. If so, they accept, otherwise, they reject

Analysis of the protocol: Correctness

- If x = y, then $\Pr[x \mod p_i = y \mod p_i] = 1 \checkmark$ Now suppose $x \neq y$
- $\Pr[\operatorname{error}] = \Pr[x \mod p_i = y \mod p_i] = \Pr[p_i \operatorname{divides} |x y|]$
- Let BAD be the set of prime numbers that divide |x y|
- Every prime number is at least 2, so $|BAD| \le \log |x y| < n$
- There are n/δ candidate *i* values, so $\Pr[p_i \in BAD] \le \frac{n}{n/\delta} = \delta$

Analysis of the protocol: Efficiency

- We chose $i \leq n/\delta$, so sending *i* costs $O(\log n)$ bits of communication \checkmark
- Sending $x \mod p_i \operatorname{costs} O(\log p_i)$ bits of communication
- How big could p_i be?

Theorem: Let p_k be the k-th prime. Then $p_k = \Theta(k \cdot \log k) = o(k^2)$.

- (Proof is outside the scope of this course)
- We chose $i \le n/\delta$, so $\log p_i = \log(o(n^2)) = O(\log n)$

Which problems

can be solved

through computation?

Randomized Turing machines



Randomized Turing machines

- Let $T: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function (time bound)
- **Definition:** A randomized time-*T* Turing machine is a two-tape Turing machine *M* such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $w \in \Sigma^n$, and every $u \in \{0, 1\}^{T(n)}$, if we initialize *M* with *w* on tape 1 and *u* on tape 2, then it halts within T(n) steps
- Interpretation: w is the input and u is the coin tosses
- (Giving M more than T(n) random bits would be pointless)

Acceptance probability

- Let M be a randomized Turing machine and let $w \in \Sigma^*$
- To run M on w, we select $u \in \{0, 1\}^{T(n)}$ uniformly at random and initialize M with w on tape 1 and u on tape 2
- *M* might accept *w* sometimes and reject *w* other times

 $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] = \frac{|\{u : M \text{ accepts } w \text{ when tape 2 is initialized with } u\}|}{|\{0, 1\}^{T(n)}|}$

The complexity class BPP

- Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be a language
- **Definition:** $L \in BPP$ if there exists a randomized polynomial-time

Turing machine M such that for every $w \in \Sigma^*$:

- If $w \in L$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] \ge 2/3$
- If $w \notin L$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] \le 1/3$
- "<u>B</u>ounded-error <u>P</u>robabilistic <u>P</u>olynomial-time"